

ROYAL CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION SPECIALIST PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

SION SAL FORM

For the period: _____to ____

Agency:	
Name of Employee:	
Employee ID No:	Name of Supervisor:
Position Title:	Position Title:
Position Level:	Position Level:

(Ratings to be given in points)

1. PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

A) How would you rate his depth of technical knowledge in his professional area of responsibility?

1. Outstanding:	3.5 – 4.00 points	
2. Very Good:	2.5 – 3.49 points	Ē
3. Good:	1.5 – 2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:	0 – 1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

B) How would you rate his knowledge on current trends, legal and professional issues, acts, and rules and regulations, within his area of professional responsibility?

1.	Outstanding :	3.5-4.00 points	
2.	Very Good:	2.5–3.49 points	
3.	Good :	1.5-2.49 points	
4.	Improvement Needed:	0-1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

C) How would you rate his timeliness and effective discharge of professional responsibilities and dependability in the performance of professional responsibilities?

1. Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points	
2. Very Good:	2.5-3.49 points	
3. Good :	1.5–2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:	0-1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

D) How would you rate his degree of professionalism and adherence to professional ethics?

1. Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points	
2. Very Good :	2.5-3.49 points	
3. Good:	1.5-2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:	0– 1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

E) How would you rate his availability, accessibility and responsiveness to needs above and beyond the minimum requirement?

1. Outstanding:	3.5 – 4.00 points	
2. Very Good:	2.5 – 3.49 points	
3. Good:	1.5 – 2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:	0 – 1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

TOTAL RATING: _____

DIVIDE 'TOTAL RATING' BY 5 = AVERAGE RATING (A):_____

2. PROFESSIONAL LEADERSHIP

 A) Active leadership in the field of one' profession, (it includes among others the ability to plan, prioritize, delegate, monitor, evaluate and decision making skills)

1. Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points
2. Very Good:	2.5-3.49 points
3. Good:	1.5–2.49 points
4. Improvement Needed:	0–1.49 points



Substantiate rating with at least one example:

15.7. Effective leadership and coordination of professional programmes, including the ability to work effectively with other peers of other Agencies/Departments, stakeholders, superiors and clients,

1.	Outstanding:	3.5–4.00 points
2.	Very Good:	2.5–3.49 points
3.	Good:	1.5–2.49 points
4.	Improvement Needed:	0–1.49 points

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

C) Leadership in developing institutional and professional linkages and/or partnerships at the national, regional and international levels,

1. Outstanding:	3.5–4.00 points
2. Very Good:	2.5-3.49 points
3. Good:	1.5-2.49 points
4. Improvement Needed:	0-1.49 points

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

D) Management of Resources; both HR (in promoting professionalism, motivation of subordinates and effective communications skills) and facilities (in effective utilisation of facilities and equipments), and

1. Outstanding	:	3.5-4.00 points	
2. Very Good	:	2.5-3.49 points	
3. Good	:	1.5-2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:		0-1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

E) Provision of technical inputs in making policy decisions, and planning and implementation processes.

1. Outstanding:	3.5 – 4.00 points	
2. Very Good:	2.5 – 3.49 points	
3. Good:	1.5 – 2.49 points	
4. Improvement Needed:	0 – 1.49 points	

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

TOTAL RATING: _____

DIVIDE 'TOTAL RATING' BY 5 = AVERAGE RATING (B): _____

3. PROFESSIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS

A) Evidence of scholarly work: publications, including journal articles, books, book review, manuals, etc..

1. Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points
2. Very Good:	2.5–3.49 points
3. Good:	1.5–2.49 points
4. Improvement Needed:	0–1.49 points

I

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

B) Professional awards, honors, recognition, appreciation/ commendations.

1.	Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points
2.	Very Good:	2.5–3.49 points
3.	Good:	1.5–2.49 points
4.	Improvement Needed:	0– 1.49 points

		_
		_
		_

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

C) Active membership, service and leadership in professional organisations.

1.	Outstanding:	3.5-4.00 points
1.	Very Good:	2.5–3.49 points
2.	Good:	1.5–2.49 points
3.	Improvement Needed:	0–1.49 points

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

D) Attendance and presentation at national, regional and local conferences, seminars and workshops.

1. Outstanding	:	3.5-4.00 points
2. Very Good	:	2.5-3.49 points
3. Good	:	1.5-2.49 points
4. Improvement Needed:		0-1.49 points

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

E) Contribution to professionally related community activities in civic, cultural, educational and benevolent organisations.

1. Outstanding:	3.5 – 4.00 points
2. Very Good:	2.5 – 3.49 points
3. Good:	1.5 – 2.49 points
4. Improvement Needed:	0 – 1.49 points

Substantiate rating with at least one example:

TOTAL RATING: _____ DIVIDE 'TOTAL RATING' BY 5 = AVERAGE RATING (C): _____

Comments by the Employee

(Comment on some of your special achievement and on areas that you need to improve)

(Signature of the Employee)

Comments by the Supervisor

(Signature of the Supervisor)

THE HRO SHALL COMPLETE THE FINAL RATINGS CALCULATION BELOW, AND SUBMIT TO THE HRC/RCSC FOR REVIEW AND FINAL APPROVAL.

FINAL RATINGS CALCULATION:
Average Rating (A): 40% Weightage Average Rating (B): 40% Weightage
Average Rating (C): 20% Weightage
Final Rating:
[Calculation: (A x 0.4) + (B x 0.4) + (C x 0.2)]
Tick appropriate box to confirm the Final Rating:
3.50 – 4.00 Outstanding 1.50 – 2.49 Good
2.50 - 3.49 Very Good $0 - 1.49$ Improvement Needed
Name and Signature of the HR Officer
Comments by the HR Committee
HR Committee No.: Date:
(Signature of the Chairperson of the HR Committee)